SENDER WILL CHECK CLASSIFICATION TOP AND BOTTOM						
	UNCLASSIFIED CONFIDENTIAL SECRET					
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP						
TO	NAME AND ADDRESS				ATE	INITIALS
1	RIDIAN					1 7
2	RIDIMIS					
3	SROBI			12	12 NOV	
4	SRICA			ļ		<u> </u>
5						
6						
			RECT REPLY	PREPARE REPLY		
	APPROVAL DISPATCH			RECOMMENDATION		
	COMMENT FILE			RETURN		
Remarks: (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1						
1-3 Include asterisked (*) indiv. in SR Travet Program						
74-124-29 3 ABSTRACT 180EX						
FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER						
FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.						
51/CAG 28669 UNCLASSIFIED CONFIDENTIAL SECRET						

FORM NO. 237 Use previous editions

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY SOURCES METHODS EXEMPTION 3B2B NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT DATE 2007

x 4277

RE Soviet Group at the Fifth International Congress of Animal Reproduction and Artificial Insemination in Trento, Italy, 6-13 Sep 1964

Sourde : S

SR TRAVEL INPUT

Date __: 16 Sep 1964

-I-

General

1. The Soviet group had about 20 persons including 3 or 4 female interpreters. The chief of the Delegation was Prof MILOVANOV Victor Konstantinovich of the All Union Scientific Research Institute of Animal Husbandry in DUBOVITSY, Moscow -bblast. There were in the group 3 Ukrainians, one Lithuanina, one Azerbajdzanian, one Kalmyk, and the rest - Russians. Ukrainians were additionally represented by ZORIN I.G., Vice-Minister of Agriculture of Ukr SSR. As scholars and specialists they were primarily interested in their specified fields and on the whole gladly discussed respective topics. On the other hand, most of them, were rather reluctant to talk about political moreover controversial events and developments.

They stuck mostly together, after sessions went directly their hotel, and refused to go to private dinners in restaurant or to parties. Some of them like ZORIN, MILOVANOV, ZHEBENKENE (female) and ZVEREVA (female) felt, however, quite at home with foreigners.

Source planned to go with the Soviet group on an excursion to BRESCIA but failed because of interpreters who simply told him that he $_{\rm WGS}$ not invited to.

After the Congress the Soviet group was supposed to be received in a private audience by Pope in Rome and they were really looking forward to this event.

CS COPY SECRET

16 Sept. 64 74-124-293

- 2. The satellite delegations were completely independent of Soviets. The relations between the both were friendly, and there was even an evident respect on the part of Bovs for the selfassurance and independence of their colleagues from the satellites. Some of satellites delegates did not go to the party given by the Soviets because they simply did not care to and preferred to converse with their Western colleagues.
- 3. No one expressed or indicated a desire to defect except for a Polish lady who decided to stay in the West. According to her Polish colleggues who seem to be quite unmoved by her decision, this was the only reasonable thing she could do as she was "no good" anyway (as scientists), and nobody wanted her in Poland.

-II-

Topics (Excerpts)

- 1. The food situation remarkably improved in 1964. There is no longer any crisis. The 1964-harvest was good. The only weak point of food-supply is a badly organized distribution itself.
- 2. Soviet-Chinese conflict was of great interest to everyone but their knowledge of the subject matter itself was relatively scarse. A little more they knew about developments in satellite countries. Non-Russians (Ukrainians and Lithuanian) were quite posistive in their sympathetic appraisal of those developments. Most outspoken in this respect was a Lithuanian.

Non-Russians and some Russians described the concept of ammalgamation of nations as impractical at the present and "just a vision for the very far future".

3. Ukrainians confirmed the arson in the Library of the Ukrainian Academy in Kiev, and liquidation of Ukrainian Agricultural Academy in 1963. The latter was Edisestablished" as a rival institution to the Russian Agricultural Academy im, Lenina in Moscow.

- 4. Khrushchev was regarded as the least evil available now to all other alternatives.
- 2. All denied categorically that collective farms would be abolished. Only an increase of initiative on the part of local managements was to be expected.
- 6. According to Ukrainian delegates everybody in Kiev is for cultural exchange with Western countries but its implementation depends on Moscow. Without Moscow's permission they ,in Kiev, are simply helpless. All cultural exchange is concentrated in Moscow and "some people" in the center like to keep things "same way" all the time.

Ukrainian delegates asked to send them books from abroad and promised to reciprocate.

7. All Soviet delegates seemed to enjoy tremendously international congresses and their participation considered to be some sort of special privilege.

CESTET N

8. The next Congress on Animal Reproduction will take place in 1968 in France, and then in 1972 in Moscow.

SR TRAVEL INPUT

1. ZORIN, I.G., Vice-Minister of Agriculture of Ukrainian SSR, Kiev.

Ukrainian, aged approx. 50 (See photograph), has a PhD degree in Agriculture, sociable, selfassured, " a real Minister". He knew about Source from KRIVOSHEEV and other agricultural specialists and officials from Kiev who had visited Source's school in Paris. ZORIN'S attitude to Source was quite friendly and the latter had several occasions to talk with him tete-a-tete, in spite of MILOVANOV'S and particularly of female interpreters' "willingness" usually to join them.

ZORIN spoke all, the time Ukrainian, only his two speeches he read held in Russian; with somewhat ostensible disregard treated MILOVANOV and other Russians, and used to underline everywhere that he was a Minister of the Ukraine. Both of his speeches entailed factual, to the pint material, free of any propagandistic phraseology. They contrasted sharply with MILOVANOV'S speeches which were full of references to "peace", "co-existence", "construction of communism" etc. Zorin's speeches were warmly applauded and much better received by the public than those of Milovanov's.

a/ According to Zorin , the transformation of republican into all-union ministeries was applied only to some "secondary ones" in Kiev which were of no particular importance to Ukraine . He felt, however, quite awkwardly when Source asked him about ikkijixikkikkx details.

On the other hand, Zorin told Source that regrettably in 1963 "The Ukrainian Academy of Agriculture" was liquidated in order to remove a serious competitor of Russian Agricultural Academy im. Lenina in Moscow.

He also told Source that there were "some" trends in the center (in Moscow) to unify scientific institutions, and a preference for scientific publications in Russian only.

L STORT

b/ According to ZORIN the arson in the Library of Ukrainian Academy in Kiev in May 1964 was performed by a paranoic who hid himself inside the library for a night and "prepared the fire". ZORIN did not want to say of what nationality the incendiary was.

c/ The concept of ammalgamation of nations ZORIN described as "a distant song of an even more distant future" as he did not see any concrete prerequisites for its implementation in the near future.

d/ ZORIN "promised" Source that from now on he will make particular in U raine efforts to get all scientific agricultural works printed not only in Russian but also in Ukrainian. He thought that "bilingualism" will best serve the purpose. This promise ZORIN made in company of KVASNITSKYI and OSTASHKO when Source reproached them all for letting most books be printed in Russian and not in Ukrainian.

e/ At the Congress ZORIN was included into the Permanent Committee of Congresses as its full fledged member.

- 2. MILOVANOV Viktor Konstantinovich, Prof, of All Union Scientific Research Institute of Animal Husbandry in Dubrovitsy, Moscow -oblast. Head of the Soviet Delegation in Trento, Italy. Russian, full member of All Union Academy of Agricultural Schences, a great biologist and zootechnician, aged 60, Stalin prize bearer. In "Papers of Soviet Scientists for the 5th Int. Congress..." in Trento published in Moscow in 1964, there are two of MILOVANOV'S papers:

 "Implementory novyi klas synteticheskikh sred dla razbavleniya semeni selsko-khoziaystvennykh zhyvotnykh" (of 1961) and "Vliyaniye okislitelno-vostanovitelnykh potentsialov pri khraneniyi semeni na oplodotvoriayemost'i kachestvo potomstva".
- According to his Ukrainian collagues , MILCVANOV has a clear tendency to fill all responsible positions in Ukrainian institutes with Russian and Asian element. In the scientific world there is adeep rivalry between MILOVANOV and KVASNYTSKYI A.V. of Poltava Institute of Pig Breeding, in Ukraine. KVASNYTSKYI a renown specialist in his field deliberately publishes his books in Russian in order to countervail MILOVANOV'S tendency to promote everywhere only Russian scientists and his own theories. Even in composing scientific delegations for abroad MILOVANOV is following the line of discrimination against Ukrainians.

Incidentally, MILOVANOV cooled off completely toward Source after he learned that Source was Ukrainian and not Polish as he assumed all the time. They were in correspondence or rather in exchange of books for several years. After MILOVANOV heard that Source was Ukrainian he even refused to go to dinner with him to a restaurant. It was Sourfee's impression that MILOVANOV indeed was a Russian chauvinist who contribut/ed/very much to Russification of Ukrainian Agricultural Institutes.

In discussing verious topics MILOVANOV stuck as a rule to official party line and even in his public addresses used phraseology. He was very reluctant to be involved in any deeper political discussion. Source's question whether individual departments of Ukrainian Academy were being now liquidated in the course of Kaldyshev Reform, he answered with a rather unconvincing "no".

3. SOKOLOVSKAYA I.I., Prof, of All-Union Scientific Research Institute of Animal Husbandry in Dubovitsy, Moscow - oblast. Russian, female, a great scientist, wife of Prof MILOVANOV V.K. At Dubovitsy she usually co-operates with Prof. OSTROVSKYI F,M. Rather reserved, willing to talk on professional subjects but reluctant to discuss politics. Russian chauvinist like her husband.

SOKOLOVSKAYA was in correspondence with Source and they exchanged books.

Some of books she sent to Source's private address though he never gave it to her of her husband.

2. ZVEREVA H.V., Prof, of Scientific Research Institute of Agriculture and Cattle Breeding of Western rayons of Ukrainian SSR, Lvov. Russian, female, aged 50-55, Professor at the same Faculty in Lviv which was headed by Source during the war. She knew many of Source's former docents and professors like Prof KIYAK, docent SOBCHUK, Prof GZHYTSKYI. Source gave ZVEREVA some of his greatises to former be handed over to his A docents and professors and also one copy of each for ZVEREVA hrself. She glaffly took them but refused to accept "Panorama ..." by Koshelivets.

ZVEREVA knows Ukrainian beside Russian and some of her books are published in Ukrainian. Her children know beside Russian and Ukrainian also Polish and French Her lectures in Lviv she reads in Russian. To Lvov she came 20 years ago.

ZVEREVA did not mind discussing scientific stuff but was reluctant to be invaolved in any political topics.

Institute of Animal Husbandry in Dubrovitsy, Moscow oblast. Russian, very friendly, tolerant on nationalities question, aboided however any deeper political discussion a typical scientist.

VIADIMIROVICH

6. KVASWITSKAT Alexei Volodymyrovych, Prof, of Poltava Scientific Research Institute of Pig Breeding, Poltava, Ukr SSR. Ukrainian, aged 55-60, a renown physiologist, full member of All Union and Ukrainian Academies of Sciences. His books are published in Russian and Ukrainian. Speaks very bad Russian. His treatise in "Papers of Soviet Scientists for the 5th Inetrnational Congress..." O prichinakh embrionalnoy smertnosti u selsko-khoziaystvennykh zhyvotnykh".

a/ KVASNITSKY is a rival of Prof MILOVANOV in both, scientific and political fields. In addition to disapproving of some of Milovanov's theories, KVASNITSKYI is very strongly opposing MILOVAN'S policy of increasing Russian element in Ukrainian institutes. MILOVANOV literally hates KVASNITSKYI and the latter seems to reciprocate.

b/ According to Source, KVASNYTSKYI is a conscious Ukrainian suffering deeply about the current policy of unification and russification. Publishing of his books in Russian he explained as the only effective means at the present to countervail MILOVANOV'S attempts to exclude Ukrainian scientists from everywhere all -Union and international forums and to promote his own proteges and theories.

c/ KVASNYTSKYI confirmed the news about the arson in the Library of Ukranian Academy in Kiev in May 1964 and spoke about it with tears in his eyes. He begged Source not to ask him "too many questions as to details".

7. OSTASHKO F.I., candidate of Biological Sciences, of Scientific Reasearch Institute of Animal Husbandry of Lissostep and Polissia of Ukrainian SSRm Kharkov. Ukrainian, aged 30-35, as a rule uses Russian, a career hunter trying to ingratiate himself with "nachalstvo".

a/ OSTASHKO rejected the idea that there was any real Russification going on in the Ukraine. He admitted ,however, that most scientific works were printed in Russian. He pointed out that whereas scientific books in Ukrainian are printed in 2 - 3,000 examplares , those in Russian have usually 20 - 30,000.

SECRET

On the other hand, he complained, he personally had some trouble with printing his book in Russian, too. Halfjokingly he added, that this was probably ZORIN'S responsibility as he was "adviced" to publish it in Ukrainian. As a scientist interested above all in his research field, he didn't care in what language he was published; the main point was to be most widely read and known.

b/ According to OSTASHKO lectures at Kharkov Veterinary Faculty are read in Ukrainian and Russian. There were no protests or demonstrations of students against Russian in Kharkov. OSTASHKO knew that some protests of students have taken place in Kiev, Lvov, Poltava, but primarily in Lvov.

c/ When discussing Rumanian and other national tendencies in the satellite countries, OSTASHKO remarked that "Ukrainian communists were no worse than others". He refused to elaborate.

8. ZHEBENKINZ B.A. (see picture), candidate of Biological Sciences, of Lithuanian Scientific Research Institute of Animal Husbandry in Baisogala.

Lithuanian, female, aged 40-45, openminded, intelligent, outspoken, Lithuanian patriot and a good catholic. With her Russian colleagues she spoke Russian, but - as she told Source - at home and in her office she uses only Lithuanian.

a/According to ZHEBENKENE there was "no very strong" Rusification in Baltic states and that it depended to a great extent on the attitude of local population and particularly of intelligentsia. She knew much about Ukrainian question and did not conceal her sympathy for Ukrainian aspirations. In drawing some comparisons on Russification she pointed out that their main problem in Baltic countries was too massive a Russian influx in/the past which had changed to "some extent" the demographic picture of Baltic peoples.

ZHEBENKENE stressed very emphatically that the concept of ammalgamation of peoples had no chances to be emplemented at the present and in the future and was simply " for birds". Referring to $U_{\rm k}$ rainians she said that as far she



SEGIET

knew c emporary Ukrainbans they would never give up to Russians.

FUAN AFANASYEVICH B. 19 Jan 00

9. BARYSHNIKOV I.A., Prof, of the Institute of Phisiology im. I.P.Pav—

Xova, Leningrad. Russian, an excellent specialist in artificial insemination,

a typical schentist, quite xaxialida sociable; avoided politics.

Scientific Research Institute of Animal Husbandry in Kirovobad. Azrbaidzanian of "Tatar origin". Very gay and friendly, reluctant to discuss any politics seriously him All attempts of Source to involve\into political topics parred with jokes and rather silly remarks as though trying to present himself "a little bit crazy".

Research Institute of Animal Husbandry in ALMA ATA. Russian, quite friendly, did not mind to talk but avoided political topics. Keenly interested in his specialized field (artificial insemination of sheep) and knew how to re-direct any conversation to this one subject.

Research Institute of Animal Husbandry of Steppe -rayons im. M.F. Ivanova, in Askanya Nova. Armenian, aged 50-55, for many years in Ukraine, former student of Ukrainian Academician HREBEN. ASLANYAN has great sympathy for everything Ukrainian and Ukraine regards as his "second native land". Nevertheless, he speak mostly Russian.

a/ According to ASLANYAN, Prof MILOVANOV has already sent many Russians to Askanya Nova and this policy is being continued.

13. MALIKOV D.I. Candidate of Biological Sciences, of All Union Scientific Research Institute of Sheep and Goat Breeding in ST AVROPOL. Kalmyk, aged 30-35, very simple, friendly, a typical peasant boy, expressed great sympathy for Kuban Ukrainians with whom he had much the doc Talks - nothing of interest.

I STORT

14. LOGINOVA N.V., Candidate of Biological Sciences, of All Union Scientific Research Institute of Sheep and Goat Breeding in STAVROPOL. Remale, her nationality described as "Soviet" and did not want to specify. Preferred to listen instead to talk, made impression of a somewhat frightened individual.

Goat Breeding in STAVROPOL. Russian, male, 30-35, student of Prof M lovanov and probably his protege. Very reserved, untalkative, his participation in private conversations be usually restricted to "yes" or "no".



- 1. ZORIN I.G., Vice-Minister of Agriculture of Ukr SSR
- 2. ZHEBENKENE B.A.
- 3, 4, and 6 interpreters, 3 "for sure KGB"
- 5. ASLANYAN M.M.